

Remarks on Aharonov-Bohm effect and geometric phase

S.C. Tiwari

Institute of Natural Philosophy

1, Kusum Kutir, Mahamanapuri

*Varanasi-221005, India**

Abstract

Recently the physical mechanism for geometric phase in optics has been elucidated in terms of the angular momentum holonomy proposed in 1992. Aharonov and Kaufherr (PRL, 92, 070404, 2004) revisit the Aharonov-Bohm effect, and propose non-local exchange of a conserved, gauge invariant quantity that changes the modular momentum of the particle that is responsible for the AB phase shift. We suggest that the net angular momentum shifts proposed for GP may be analogous to the shift in the modulus momentum for the AB effect. At a single photon or electron level such non-trivial, geometric effects seem to hint at a new physics.

*Electronic address: vns'sctiwari@yahoo.com

(1) Aharonov and Bohm in 1959 [1] write that in classical electrodynamics, the vector and scalar potentials were first introduced as a convenient mathematical aid for calculating fields, and further that, In the quantum mechanics, however, the canonical formalism is necessary, and as a result, the potentials cannot be eliminated from the basic equations. It may seem that even in quantum mechanics, the potentials themselves have no independent significance. In this paper, we shall show that the above conclusions are not correct and that a further interpretation of the potentials is needed in the quantum mechanics. The physical interpretation of the potentials has been debated since their introduction, and as early as 1861 Riemann interpreted them representing the density and velocity of aether. In the monograph [2], Chapter 6 we have reviewed modifications/extensions of the Maxwell-Lorentz theory, and in a recent paper the physical attributes of photon are discussed in terms of the potentials [3]. Note that classical or quantum mechanical description of a physical phenomenon is just a description, and if, there are unexplained or puzzling aspects of physical reality mere correspondence between the descriptions or setting the limits of validity of the theories would not be enough: search for a new theory becomes imperative. It is this belief that has led us to re-examine the concepts of space and time in Newtonian and relativistic dynamics, and the structure of Maxwell-Lorentz electrodynamics for single electron and photon [2]. In contrast to Aharonov and Bohm proposition that potentials have significance in QM, we argue that at a basic level the potentials describe a single photon, and the electromagnetic field is a macroscopic quantity representing the photon fluid. Here magnetic field signifies the angular momentum of this fluid. Note that the dynamics based on the rate of change of momentum cannot describe the effect of constant potentials for a force derivable from a potential (as its gradient). We call the force-free (or torque-free) dynamics as pre-dynamics, and suggest that under certain conditions these pre-dynamical effects of unobservable potentials manifest as changes in the level of momentum (or angular momentum); AB effect and GP have origin in such shifts. The physical meaning of angular momentum holonomy and possible experimental tests are discussed in [4].

(2) Recent paper [5] discussing the AB effect underlines the fact that in spite of several interpretations of this effect, there do exist intriguing features. Aharonov and Kaufherr [5] compare the classical Poisson bracket formalism and quantum Heisenberg equation of motion for a charged particle motion in the field-free region, and find that there exists a quantity that changes in the later case but does not change in the former. Authors argue

that in quantum theory this change occurs in the modular momentum, and the exchange occurs at a definite time. An experimental test is proposed to test this idea making use of an ensemble of charged particles and the effect of gauge invariant exchange on the velocity distribution. In their analysis [5], the displacement operator is compared for classical and quantum descriptions. The displacement operator is a function of modular momentum. For the electromagnetic waves, the classical treatment of the interference depends on the relative phase, while for the quantized field the operator for $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ is used to define the displacement operator. It is noted, that thus, for a single photon in a superposition of two wave packets displaced by L , this operator depends on the relative phase in exactly the same way as the modular momentum considered above does. From this remark it seems the modular momentum is important for single photon, not for classical light beams. On the other hand, typical geometric phases occur for classical light, and there are claims that they have been measured for single photons. A natural generalization of the analysis given in [5] would be to construct rotation operators and analogous to the modular momentum define modular angular momentum. If the modular effects are important only for single photons, then it would imply that GP cannot be related with angular momentum holonomy as proposed by us [4]. However, from the discussion on the AB effect, we prefer to interpret the modular angular momentum as representing the angular momentum shifts responsible for GP. We do not like to treat physical effects as description-specific: for example, though Hannay angles [6] arise in one of the formalisms of classical mechanics, namely the action-angle variables, the observed effect is a property of the physical system/process, not an artifact of the description.

(3) Preceding discussion leads to two fundamental questions: what is the meaning of single photon? What is phase? In ref.3 we have critically reviewed the concept of photon; we add to that logically sound arguments for anti-photon by Lamb [7] questioning the scientific justification for the photon concept in laser physics. In our review [3] we have pointed out that the concept of photon in quantum optics and quantum electrodynamics remains a calculational tool, and therefore its physical reality is at best undecidable. If we demand elimination of unsound mathematical procedures (e.g. subtraction of infinities) and counter-intuitiveness of quantum theory from quantum electrodynamics, then not only the classical theory may have to be revised, photon and electromagnetic potentials may turn out to be fundamental. In the last section of [3] we have outlined a tentative experimental

schematic to test the photon model in which the magnetic flux quantum is re-interpreted as photon spin and electronic charge as fractional spin. The polarization entangled photon pairs are sent into the two arms of the interferometer; in one of the arms, the optical fiber is passed through a superconducting ring placed between the analyzer and the detector. The correlations are recorded, and compared for the two cases when the ring is in the normal state and when it is cooled to the superconducting state. The difference between the two should show up as photon trapping, and be related with the number of flux quanta. Re-interpreting classical light as photon fluid, we have also suggested application of static magnetic field to change the orbital angular momentum of light beams, see [3]. As regards to the physical significance of the phase, we refer to [4] and propose to discuss this problem in connection with the rotational frequency shifts in the sequel.

-
- [1] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **115**, 485 (1959).
 - [2] S.C. Tiwari, Rebirth of the electron : electromagnetism - An unorthodox new approach to fundamental problems in physics (Monograph, published by author, 1997).
 - [3] S.C. Tiwari, J. of Optics B, Quantum and Semiclassical Optics, **4**, S39 (2002); also see J. Mod. Opt. **46**, 1721 (1999).
 - [4] S.C. Tiwari, J. Mod. Optics **39**, 1097 (1992); <http://arXiv:quant-ph/0401044> (to be published in J. Mod. Optics)
 - [5] Y. Aharonov and T. Kaufherr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 070404 (2004)
 - [6] J.H. Hannay, J. Phys. A **18**, 221 (1985)
 - [7] W.E. Lamb, Jr., The interpretation of quantum mechanics, (Rinton Press, N.J. 2001) Collected papers of Lamb, ed. J. Mehra.